arXiv:1606.09266v1 [math.FA] 29 Jun 2016

July 1, 2016

A DISCRETE REGULARIZATION METHOD FOR ILL-POSED OPERARAOR EQUATIONS

M.T. NAIR

Abstract. Discrete regularization methods are often applied for obtaining stable approximate solutions for ill-posed operator equations $Tx = y$, where $T : X \to Y$ is a bounded operator between Hilbert spaces with non-closed range $R(T)$ and $y \in R(T)$. Most of the existing such methods involve finite rank bounded projection operators on either the domain space X or on codomain space Y or on both. In this paper, we propose a discrete regularization based on finite rank projection-like operators on some subspace of the codomain space such that their ranges need not be subspaces of the codomain space. This method not only incudes some of the exisiting projection based methods but also a quadrature based collocation method considered by the author in [8] for integral equations of the firt kind.

1. Introduction and Preparatory Results

Consider the problem of solving the operator equation

$$Tx = y, \tag{1}$$

where $T : X \to Y$ is a bounded linear operator between Hilbert spaces X and Y, and $y \in Y$. We may observe that if $R(T)$, the range of T, is not closed in Y, then T cannot have a continuous inverse, and hence, the probelm of solving the equation (1) is *ill-posed*, in the sense that (1) need not have a solution for a given $y \in Y$, and even if it has a unique soolution, the solution, it does not depend continuously on the data y. In such case, to obtain a stable approximate solution, some regularization method has to be used. One such method, in the setting of infinite dimesional setting, is *Tikhonov regularization* (see [10, 2, 1, 7]).

In this paper we consider a discrete regularization method based on a finite rank projection-like operator π_n when $y \in R(T)$. To prove the convergence of the method and to obtain the error estimate, we shall make use of the error in Tikhonov regularization and some assumptions on the sequence of operators which converge to T^*T. The special cases of the suggested method include various projection-like methods and also a quadrature based collocation method consdered in [8, 9].

Since the error estimate and the convergence results heavily depend on the Tikhonov regularization, let us recall some results pertaining to it. Recall that the regularized approximation is defined as the solution of the well-posed equation

$$(T^*T + \alpha I)x_\alpha = T^*y \tag{2}$$

for $\alpha > 0$. It is known that, if $y \in R(T) + R(T)^\perp$, then there exists a unique $x^\dagger \in X$ such that

$$\|x^\dagger\| = \inf\{\|x\| : \|Tx - y\| \le \|Tu - y\| \,\forall\, u \in X\},$$

and it is the unique element in $N(T)^\perp$ such that

$$T^*Tx^\dagger = T^*y. \tag{3}$$

It is also known that the map $T^\dagger : R(T) + R(T)^\perp \to X$, called the *genrealized inverse* of T, which maps y to $x^\dagger := T^\dagger y$ is a closed operator, and it is continuous if and only if $R(T)$ is closed.

Suppose $y \in R(T) + R(T)^\perp$ and $x^\dagger = T^\dagger y$. Then from (2) and (3), we obtain

$$x^\dagger - x_\alpha = \alpha(T^*T + \alpha I)^{-1}x^\dagger.$$

Since $x^\dagger \in N(T)^\perp$, and since $R(T^*T)$ is a dense subspace of $N(T)^\perp$, it can be shown by using a stadard result in Functional Anaysis (cf. [6]) that

$$\|x^\dagger - x_\alpha\| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \alpha \to 0.$$

However, for obtianing an estimate for $\|x^\dagger - x_\alpha\|$, additional assumptions has to be imposed on the $x^\dagger$. In this regard, we have the following result (see [7] for its proof).

Theorem 1. *Suppose $y \in R(T) + R(T)^\perp$ and $x^\dagger = T^\dagger y$. Let x_α be as in (2). If $x^\dagger = \varphi(T^*T)u$ for some $u \in X$, where $\varphi : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is a continuous function such that $\varphi(\alpha) \to 0$ as $\alpha \to 0$ and*

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} \frac{\alpha\varphi(\lambda)}{\lambda + \alpha} \le c_0\varphi(\alpha) \tag{4}$$

for some $c_0 > 0$, then

$$\|x^\dagger - x_\alpha\| \le c_0\|u\|\varphi(\alpha).$$

Particular choices of φ in (4) are

(i) $\varphi(\lambda) := \lambda^\nu$ for some $\nu \in (0, 1]$ or
(ii) $\varphi(\lambda) := [\log(1/\lambda)]^{-p}$ for some $p > 0$.

The cases (i) and (ii) above are useful when the problem (1) is mildly ill-posed and severely ill-posed, respectively, (see [5, 7]) (c.f. Hohag[3]).

When T is a general bounded linear operator, the oprator $\varphi(T^*T)$ in Theorem 1, is defined via the *spectal theorem* for the self-adjoint (positive) operator T^*T (cf. [6])hat is,

$$\varphi(T^*T) = \int_0^a \lambda dE_\lambda, \quad a > \|T\|^2,$$

where $\{E_\lambda : \lambda \in [0, a]\}$ is the *spectral resolution of identity* corresponding to the self adjoint operator T^*T (see [11, 6]). If T is a compact oprator of infite rank, then one may use the singular value decomposition (see [6]) of T, that is,

$$Tx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n \langle x, u_n \rangle v_n, \quad x \in X, \tag{5}$$

to define $\varphi(T^*T)$, that is,

$$\varphi(T^*T)x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(\sigma_n)\langle x, u_n \rangle v_n, \quad x \in X.$$

Here, $\{u_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{v_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are orthonormal bases of $N(T)^\perp$ and $N(T^*)^\perp$, respectively and the *singular values* σ_n's of T are positive real numbers such that $\sigma_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. In this case, we have

$$T^\dagger y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle y, v_n \rangle}{\sigma_n} u_n, \quad y \in R(T) + R(T)^\perp, \tag{6}$$

and the assumption $x^\dagger = \varphi(T^*T)u$ is equivalent to the requirement

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\langle x^\dagger, u_n \rangle|^2}{\varphi(\sigma_n)^2} < \infty.$$

(see (cf. [7]). It is also to be obseved that if $R(T)$ is of finite rank, say $\text{rank}(T) = r$, then (5) and (6) take the forms

$$Tx = \sum_{n=1}^{r} \sigma_n \langle x, u_n \rangle v_n, \quad x \in X,$$

and

$$T^\dagger y = \sum_{n=1}^{r} \frac{\langle y, v_n \rangle}{\sigma_n} u_n, \quad y \in R(T) + R(T)^\perp,$$

respectively, and in that case,

$$\|T^\dagger\| = \frac{1}{\min\limits_{1 \le n \le r} \sigma_n}.$$

Suppose the data is noisy, say $\tilde{y}$ in place of y with $\|y - \tilde{y}\| \le \delta$. If $\tilde{x}_\alpha$ is the corresponding Tikhonov regularized solution, that is,

$$(T^*T + \alpha I)\tilde{x}_\alpha = T^*\tilde{y} \tag{7}$$

and if $R(T)$ is not closed, then the family $(\|\tilde{x}_\alpha\|)$ need not be even bounded (see [1, 7]). In such case, one has to choose the *regularization parameter* α depending on $(\delta, \tilde{y})$ in such a way that

$$\|x^\dagger - \tilde{x}_\alpha\| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \delta \to 0.$$

There are many parameter choice available in the literature (see [1, 7]) and some of the references there in).

In Section 2 we introduce the proposed discrete regularization method and derive a general error estimate which motivates the type of assumptions to be made for establishing the convergence. In Section 3 we consider some of the special cases of the general setting of Section 2, and in Section 4 we consider the case when the data is noisy. In Section 5 further regularization of the discretised equation is considered and in the final section, Section 6, we discuss the method in the context of integral equations of the first kind, incldluing the one considered in [8, 9].

2. The Method and a general Error Estimate

Throughout the paper $T : X \to Y$ is a bounded linear operaror between Hilbert spaces X and Y over the space scalar filed $\mathbb{K}$ which is either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. We also assume the following:

(a) Z is a subspace of Y such that $R(T) \subseteq Z$ and $y \in R(T)$.
(b) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Y_n is a finite dimensional inner product space over $\mathbb{K}$.
(c) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\pi_n : Z \to Y_n$ is a linear operator such that $\pi_n T : X \to Y_n$ is a bounded linear operator.

It is to be observed that, though π_n is a finite reank operator, we do not assume it to be a bounded linear operaror.

Since $y \in R(T)$, we have Then we also have

$$Tx^\dagger = y \quad \text{and} \quad T_n x^\dagger = y_n, \tag{8}$$

where $x^\dagger = T^\dagger y$. However, since $T^\dagger$ is an unbounded operator, $x^\dagger$ cannot be recovered in a stable manner. Since $\pi_n T$ is of finite rank, a natural choice would be to consider the minimum norm solution $x_n^\dagger$ of

$$\pi_n T x = \pi_n y. \tag{9}$$

We may recall that $x_n^\dagger$ is the unique element in $N(\pi_n T)^\perp$ such that

$$\|x_n^\dagger\| = \inf\{\|u\| : u \in X,\, \pi_n T x = \pi_n y\}.$$

We denote

$$T_n := \pi_n T \quad \text{and} \quad y_n = \pi_n y.$$

Note that, since $T_n : X \to Y_n$ is of finite rank, $N(T_n)^\perp = R(T_n^*)$ and hence, $N(T_n)^\perp$ is finite dimensional so that $x_n^\dagger$ is the unique solution of the equation

$$T_{n|_{N(T_n)^\perp}} x = y_n,$$

and it can be also be represented as

$$x_n^\dagger = T_n^* v_n,$$

where $v_n \in Y_n$ is any solution of

$$T_n T_n^* v_n = y_n. \tag{10}$$

Recall that $T_n T_n^*$ is an operator from Y_n into itself. Therefore, the equation (10) corresponds to solving a matrix equation.

Theorem 2. *Let $\varepsilon_n > 0$ be such that $\|T^*T - T_n^*T_n\| \le \varepsilon_n$. Then for every $\alpha > 0$,*

$$\|x^\dagger - x_n^\dagger\| \le \Big(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\alpha}\Big)\|x^\dagger - x_\alpha\|.$$

In particular, the following results hold.

(1) $\|x^\dagger - x_n^\dagger\| \le 2\|x^\dagger - x_{\varepsilon_n}\|$.
(2) *If $\|T^*T - T_n^*T_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then $\|x^\dagger - x_n^\dagger\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.*

Proof. Since $\pi_n y \in R(T_n)$, we have

$$T_n x_n^\dagger = \pi_n y. \tag{11}$$

From (8) and (11), we obtain

$$x^\dagger - x_n^\dagger \in N(T_n).$$

Since $x_n^\dagger \in N(T_n)^\perp$, it follows that

$$x_n^\dagger = Q_n x^\dagger,$$

where $Q_n : X \to X$ is the orthogonal projection onto $N(T_n)^\perp$. Thus,

$$\|x^\dagger - x_n^\dagger\| \le \|x^\dagger - u\| \quad \forall\, u \in N(T_n)^\perp.$$

Since $R(T_n)$ is closed, we have

$$N(T_n)^\perp = N(T_n^*T_n)^\perp = R(T_n^*T_n).$$

Also, $x_\alpha = T^*T z_\alpha$, where

$$z_\alpha = (T^*T + \alpha I)^{-1} x^\dagger = \frac{1}{\alpha}(x^\dagger - x_\alpha).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\|x^\dagger - x_n^\dagger\| &\leq \|x^\dagger - x_\alpha\| + \|x_\alpha - T_n^*T_n z_\alpha\| \\ &= \|x^\dagger - x_\alpha\| + \|(T^*T - T_n^*T_n)z_\alpha\| \\ &= \|x^\dagger - x_\alpha\| + \frac{1}{\alpha}\|(T^*T - T_n^*T_n)(x^\dagger - x_\alpha)\| \\ &\leq (1 + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\alpha})\|x^\dagger - x_\alpha\|,\end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_n > 0$ such that $\|T^*T - T_n^*T_n\| \leq \varepsilon_n$. The particular cases are obvious. □

3. Special cases

By Theorem 2, the convergence of the method is guaranteed if

$$\|T^*T - T_n^*T_n\| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{12}$$

We shall specify specify some conditions under which we have this convergence. We shall also make use of the following result from functional analysis (cf. [6]).

Proposition 3. *Let X_1, X_2, X_3 be normed linear sapces. If X_2 is a Banach space, $A : X_1 \to X_2$ is a compact operator, $B : X_2 \to X_3$ is a bounded linear operaror and (B_n) is a sequence of bounded linear operators from X_2 to X_3 such that $\|B_nx - Bx\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for each $x \in X_2$, then*

$$\|(B_n - B)A\| \to 0 \quad \textit{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Theorem 4. *Suppose that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Y_n is a subspace of Y. Then*

$$\|T^*T - T_n^*T_n\| \leq (\|T\| + \|T_n\|)\|(I - \pi_n)T\|.$$

In particular, if

$$\|(I - \pi_n)T\| \to 0 \quad \textit{as} \quad n \to \infty, \tag{13}$$

then $(\|T_n\|)$ is bounded and $\|T^*T - T_n^*T_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (T^*T - T_n^*T_n)x, x\rangle &= \langle Tx, Tx\rangle - \langle T_nx, T_n\rangle \\ &= \langle (T - T_n)x, Tx\rangle + \langle T_nx, (T - T_n)x\rangle \\ &= \langle (I - \pi_n)Tx, Tx\rangle + \langle \pi_nTx, (I - \pi_n)Tx\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|\langle (T^*T - T_n^*T_n)x, x\rangle| \leq (\|T\| + \|T_n\|)\|(I - \pi_n)T\|\|x\|^2.$$

Since $T^*T - T_n^*T_n$ is a self adjoint operator, we know that (cf. [6])

$$\|T^*T - T_n^*T_n\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle (T^*T - T_n^*T_n)x, x\rangle|.$$

Thus,

$$\|T^*T - T_n^*T_n\| \leq (\|T\| + \|T_n\|)\|(I - \pi_n)T\|.$$

Now, assume the condition (13) . Then $(\|\pi_n T\|)$ is bounded, say $\|\pi_n T\| \leq c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, we have

$$\|T^*T - T_n^*T_n\| \leq (\|T\| + c)\|(I - \pi_n)T\| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

□

Remark 5. We may also observe that if π_n are orthogonal projections on Y with $R(\pi_n) = Y_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^*T - T_n^*T_n\| &= \|T^*T - T^*\pi_n T\| \\ &= \|T^*(I - \pi_n)T\| \\ &\leq \|T^*(I - \pi_n)\|\,\|(I - \pi_n)T\| \\ &= \|(I - \pi_n)T\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain a better estimate for $\|T^*T - T_n^*T_n\|$ than the one given in Theorem 4.

Theorem 6. *Suppose*

(i) *Z is a Banach space with a norm $\|\cdot\|_Z$ stonger than the norm on Y,*
(ii) *$T : X \to Z$ is a compact operator,*
(iii) *$Y_n \subseteq Z$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*
(iv) *$\pi_n : Z \to Z$ is a bounded linear operator such that $\|u - \pi_n u\|_Z \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for every $u \in Z$.*

Then, $\|(I - \pi_n)T\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. In particular, $\|T^*T - T_n^*T_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Under the given assumptions on T and (π_n), it follows from Proposition 3 that

$$\|(I - \pi_n)T\|_{X\to Z} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{X\to Z}$ is the norm on the space of bounded lonear operators from X to Z. Since the Banach space norm $\|\cdot\|_Z$ on Z is stronger than the norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$ on Y, we obtain

$$\|(I - \pi_n)T\| \leq c\,\|(I - \pi_n)T\|_{X\to Z}$$

for some constant $c > 0$, so that $\|(I - \pi_n)T\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence, arrive at the conclusion by using Theorem 4. □

4. Estimate under noisy data

Suppose the availbale data is noisy, say we have $\tilde{y} \in Y$ such that

$$\|\pi_n(y - \tilde{y})\| \leq \delta_n$$

for some $\delta_n > 0$. Let

$$\tilde{x}_n^\dagger = T_n^\dagger \pi_n \tilde{y}.$$

Theorem 7. *Let λ_n be the smallest nonzero eighenvalue of $T_n T_n^*$ and let $\sigma_n := \sqrt{\lambda_n}$. Then*

$$\|x_n^\dagger - \tilde{x}_n^\dagger\| \leq \frac{\delta_n}{\sigma_n}.$$

If $x^\dagger = \varphi(T^*T)u$ for some $u \in X$, where $\varphi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ is a continuous concave function, and if

$$\delta_n \leq \sigma_n \varphi(\varepsilon_n),$$

then

$$\|x^\dagger - \tilde{x}_n^\dagger\| \leq c\varphi(\varepsilon_n),$$

for some constant c, depending on $x^\dagger$.

Proof. Note that

$$x_n^\dagger - \tilde{x}_n^\dagger = T_n^\dagger \pi_n (y - \tilde{y}).$$

Since nonzero eigenvalues of $T_n^* T_n$ and $T_n T_n^*$ are the same, $\sigma_n := \sqrt{\lambda_n}$ is the smallest singular value of T_n, so that we have (see ([6])

$$\|T_n^\dagger\| = \frac{1}{\sigma_n}.$$

Thus,

$$\|x_n^\dagger - \tilde{x}_n^\dagger\| \leq \frac{\delta_n}{\sigma_n},$$

Using the assumption $x^\dagger = \varphi(T^*T)u$ for some $u \in X$, where $\varphi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ is a continuous concave function, we know that

$$\|x^\dagger - x_{\varepsilon_n}\| \leq \|u\| \varphi(\varepsilon_n).$$

Now, the last estimate in the theorem follows using the estimate $\|x^\dagger - x_n^\dagger\| \leq 2\|x^\dagger - x_{\varepsilon_n}\|$ obtained in Theorem 2. □

5. Further Regularization

Recall that, in order to find $x_n^\dagger$ it is enough to solve the equation (10), that is,

$$T_n T_n^* v_n = y_n$$

and take $x_n^\dagger = T_n^* v_n$. However, under the noisy data $\tilde{y}_n$ in place of $y_n := P_n y$, it is not advisable to find $\tilde{x}_n^\dagger := T_n^\dagger \tilde{y}_n$; instead, we may solve a regularized equation.

Theorem 8. *Let $v_{\alpha,n}$ and $\tilde{v}_{\alpha,n}$ be in Y_n be such that*

$$(T_n T_n^* + \alpha I_n) v_{\alpha,n} = y_n, \quad (T_n T_n^* + \alpha I_n)\tilde{v}_{\alpha,n} = \tilde{y}_n$$

and let

$$x_{\alpha,n} := T_n^* v_{\alpha,n}, \quad \tilde{x}_{\alpha,n} := T_n^* \tilde{v}_{\alpha,n}.$$

Then

$$\|x^\dagger - x_{\alpha,n}\| \le \Big(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\alpha}\Big)\|x^\dagger - x_\alpha\|$$

and

$$\|x^\dagger - \tilde{x}_{\alpha,n}\| \le \Big(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\alpha}\Big)\|x^\dagger - x_\alpha\| + \frac{\|y_n - \tilde{y}_n\|}{\sqrt{\alpha}}.$$

In particular,

$$\|x^\dagger - x_{\varepsilon_n,n}\| \le 2\|x^\dagger - x_{\varepsilon_n}\|$$

and

$$\|x^\dagger - \tilde{x}_{\varepsilon_n,n}\| \le 2\|x^\dagger - x_{\varepsilon_n}\| + \frac{\|y_n - \tilde{y}_n\|}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}_n}.$$

Proof. From the definiton of $v_{\alpha,n}$ and $\tilde{v}_{\alpha,n}$, it follows that

$$x_{\alpha,n} := T_n^* v_{\alpha,n}, \quad \tilde{x}_{\alpha,n} := T_n^* \tilde{v}_{\alpha,n}$$

satisfy

$$(T_n^* T_n + \alpha I)x_{\alpha,n} = T_n^* y_n, \quad (T_n^* T_n + \alpha I)\tilde{x}_{\alpha,n} = T_n^* \tilde{y}_n.$$

Therefore,

$$\|x_{\alpha,n} - \tilde{x}_{\alpha,n}\| \le \frac{\|y_n - \tilde{y}_n\|}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$$

Note also that

$$\begin{aligned} x_\alpha - x_{\alpha,n} &= (T^*T + \alpha I)^{-1}T^* y - (T_n^* T_n + \alpha I)^{-1} T_n^* y_n \\ &= (T^*T + \alpha I)^{-1}T^* T x^\dagger - (T_n^* T_n + \alpha I)^{-1} T_n^* T_n x^\dagger \\ &= T^*T(T^*T + \alpha I)^{-1} x^\dagger - (T_n^* T_n + \alpha I)^{-1} T_n^* T_n x^\dagger \\ &= \alpha (T_n^* T_n + \alpha I)^{-1}[T^*T - T_n^* T_n](T^*T + \alpha I)^{-1} x^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|x_\alpha - x_{\alpha,n}\| \le \varepsilon_n \|(T^*T + \alpha I)^{-1} x^\dagger\|.$$

But,

$$x^\dagger - x_\alpha = \alpha(T^*T + \alpha I)^{-1}x^\dagger.$$

Therefore,

$$\|x_{\alpha,n} - x_\alpha\| \le \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\alpha}\|x^\dagger - x_\alpha\|$$

so that

$$\|x^\dagger - x_{\alpha,n}\| \le \Big(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\alpha}\Big)\|x^\dagger - x_\alpha\|.$$

Thus, we obtain the results. □

The following theorem is a consequence of Theorems 1 and 8.

Theorem 9. *Suppose $x^\dagger$ belongs to the range of $\varphi(T^*T)$, where $\varphi : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is a continuous function as in Theorem 1. If $\|y_n - \tilde{y}_n\| \le \sqrt{\varepsilon_n}\varphi(\varepsilon_n)$, then*

$$\|x^\dagger - \tilde{x}_{\varepsilon_n,n}\| \le c\,\varphi(\varepsilon_n).$$

for some constant $c > 0$ depending on $x^\dagger$.

6. Applications to Integral Equations of the First Kind

Let $\Omega := [a,b]$, and T be the Fredholm integral operator on the real Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega)$ with a continuous kernel, that is,

$$(Tx)(s) = \int_a^b k(s,t)x(t)\,dt, \quad x \in L^2(\Omega),\ s \in \Omega,$$

where $k \in C(\Omega \times \Omega)$. Let $Z = C(\Omega)$ be with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

Example 10. Let (π_n) be a sequence of interpolatory projections with $R(\pi_n) \subseteq C(\Omega)$ and such that (π_n) converges pointwise to the identity operator on $C(\Omega)$, that is, for each $u \in C(\Omega)$,

$$\|u - \pi_n u\|_\infty \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

In this case, $Y_n = R(\pi_n)$. Since $R(T) \subseteq C(\Omega)$ and $T : L^2[a,b] \to C(\Omega)$ is also a compact operator, Proposition 3 and Theorem 6 can be applied.

Note that the intepolatory projection $\pi_n : C(\Omega) \to C(\Omega)$ can be representated as

$$\pi_n x = \sum_{i=1}^n x(t_i^{(n)})u_i^{(n)}, \quad x \in C(\Omega),$$

where $t_1^{(n)}, \dots, t_n^{(n)}$ are in Ω with $t_1^{(n)} < \dots < t_n^{(n)}$ and $u_1^{(n)}, \dots, u_n^{(n)}$ are in $C(\Omega)$ are such that

$$u_i^{(n)}(t_j^{(n)}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \ne j, \end{cases}, \quad i,j = 1,\dots,n.$$

In this case, for $x, v \in L^2(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_n x, v\rangle &= \langle \pi_n T x, v\rangle \\
&= \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^n (Tx)(t_i^{(n)}) u_i^{(n)}(\tau) v(\tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_\Omega k(t_i^{(n)}, t) x(t) dt \right) u_i^{(n)}(\tau) v(\tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_\Omega x(t) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n k(t_i^{(n)}, t) \int_\Omega u_i^{(n)}(\tau) v(\tau) d\tau \right) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(T_n^* v)(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n k(t_i^{(n)}, t) \langle u_i^{(n)}, v\rangle.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
T_n T_n^* v &= \pi_n T (T_n^* v) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (T T_n^* v)(t_i^{(n)}) u_i^{(n)} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_\Omega k(t_i^{(n)}, t) (T_n^* v)(t) dt \right) u_i^{(n)} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_\Omega k(t_i^{(n)}, t) \sum_{\ell=1}^n k(t_\ell^{(n)}, t) \langle u_\ell^{(n)}, v\rangle dt \right) u_i^{(n)}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{y}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i u_i$ and

$$a_{ij} = \int_\Omega k(t_i^{(n)}, t) \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n k(t_\ell^{(n)}, t) \int_\Omega u_\ell^{(n)}(\tau) u_j^{(n)}(\tau) d\tau \right) dt.$$

Then it can be seen that $\tilde{v}_{\alpha,n} := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i u_i$ is the solution of

$$(T_n T_n^* + \alpha I_n) \tilde{v}_{\alpha,n} = \tilde{y}_n$$

if and only if $\mathbf{x} = [x_i]$ is the solution of

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b},$$

with $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$ and $\mathbf{b} = [\beta_i]$.

Example 11. Let $t_1^{(n)}, \ldots, t_n^{(n)}$ in Ω and $w_1^{(n)}, \ldots, w_n^{(n)}$ be positive real numbers such that the associated quadrature formula converges, that is, for every $f \in C(\Omega)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i^{(n)}) w_i^{(n)} \to \int_a^b f(t)\, dt \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Let $Y_n := \mathbb{R}^n_w$ be with inner product

$$\langle u, v\rangle_w := \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{(n)} u_i \bar{v}_i$$

for $u := (u_i)$, $v := (v_i)$ in $\mathbb{K}^n$. Let $\pi_n : C(\Omega) \to \mathbb{K}^n_w$ be defined by

$$\pi_n f = (f(t_1^{(n)}), \ldots, f(t_1^{(n)})), \quad f \in C(\Omega).$$

Thus, denoting the i-th coordinate of $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ by $u(i)$,

$$(T_n x)(i) = (Tx)(t_i^{(n)}), \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}.$$

It is proved in [8] that the adjoint $T_n^* : \mathbb{R}^n_w \to L^2(\Omega)$ of T_n is given by

$$(T_n^* \vec{\alpha})(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n k(\tau_i^{(n)}, s)\alpha_i w_i^{(n)}, \quad \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_w$$

so that $T_n^* T_n = F_n T$, where F_n is the Nyström approximation of the integral operator $T^* : C(\Omega) \to C(\Omega)$ given by

$$(T^* u)(s) = \int_a^b k(t, s)u(t)\, dt, \quad u \in C(\Omega),\ s \in \Omega,$$

that is,

$$(F_n x)(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n k(t_i^{(n)}, s)x(t_i^{(n)})w_i^{(n)},$$

and consequently, using the fact that (see, e.g., [4, 7]) $\|F_n u - T^* u\|_\infty \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and Proposition 3, we have

$$\|T^* T - T_n^* T_n\| = \|(F_n - T^*)T\| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Thus, the method in [8] is a special case. In this case, for $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_w$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[(T_n T_n^*)\vec{\alpha}](i) &= [T(T_n^* \vec{\alpha})])(t_i^{(n)}) \\
&= \int_\Omega k((t_i^{(n)}, t)[(T_n^* \vec{\alpha})])(t)]dt \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(w_j^{(n)} \int_\Omega k((t_i^{(n)}, t)k(\tau_j^{(n)}, t)dt \right) \alpha_j
\end{aligned}$$

Then, writing

$$a_{ij} := w_j^{(n)} \int_\Omega k((t_i^{(n)}, t)k(\tau_j^{(n)}, t)dt \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{y}_n := (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n),$$

it can be seen that $\tilde{v}_{\alpha,n} := (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the solution of

$$(T_n T_n^* + \alpha I_n)\tilde{v}_{\alpha,n} = \tilde{y}_n$$

if and only if $\mathbf{x} = [x_i]$ is the solution of

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \alpha\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b},$$

with $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$ and $\mathbf{b} = [\beta_i]$.

Acknowledgement. This work was done while I was a Visiting Professor at Institute Camille Jordan, Saint Etienne for a month in June 2016. I gratefully acknowledge the support from the Institute Camille Jordan and the warm hospitality received from Prof. Mario Ahues and Prof. Laurence Grammont.

References

[1] H.W. Engl, M. Hanke, and A. Neubauer, *Regularization of Inverse Problems*, Kluwer, Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, London, 1996.

[2] C.W. Groetsch, *The Theory of Tikhonov Regularization for Fredholm Equations of the First Kind*, Pitman Pub., Boston - London - Melbourne, 1984.

[3] T. Hohag, Regularization of exponentially ill-posed problems, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization* 21 (3-4) (2000), 439-464

[4] R. Kress, *Linear Integral equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1989.

[5] B.A. Mair, *Tikhonov regularization for finitely and infinitely smoothing operators*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **25**(1994), 135–147.

[6] M.T. Nair, *Functional Analysis: A First Course*, Prentice-Hall of India, New Delhi, 2002 (Third Print, 2010).

[7] M.T. Nair, *Liner Operator Equations: Approximation and regularization*, World Scientific, Sigapore, New York, 2009.

[8] M.T. Nair, Quadrature based collocation method for integral equations of the first kind, *Advances in Computational Matheamtics*, 15 September 2011.

[9] M.T. Nair and S. Pereverziev, Regularized collocation method for integral equations of the first kind, *Journal of Complexity*, 23 (2007) 454–467.

[10] A.N. Tikhonv and V.Y. Arsenin, *Solution of Ill-posed Problems*, Winston & Sons., Washington, 1977

[11] K. Yoshida, *Functional Analysis*, Springer,

Department of Mathematics, I.I.T. Madras, Chennai-600 036, INDIA

E-mail address: mtnair@iitm.ac.in